GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
DEFENCE
LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:2954
ANSWERED ON:07.12.2000
IRREGULARITY IN APPOINTMENTS OF ASSISTANTS IN DEFENCE
JAGDAMBI PRASAD YADAV

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) the officers against whom action has been recommended for committing irregularities for personal benefit for appointment in Assistant grade in his Ministry;

(b) the officers/employees found guilty for not giving appointment in promotion quota in the same year since 1989 under the provisions of rule 13(6) of Central Secretariat Services Rules, 1962;

(c) whether the competent authority has recommended for initiating a departmental proceedings with the aim of protecting the guilty persons or to give them minor punishment; and

(d) if so, the cases in which proposals have been made by the Ministry since 1990 till date to initiate major and minor departmental proceedings against officers/employees?

Answer

MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES)

(a) Action has been recommended against one Section Officer and one Assistant, found guilty with regard to revision of vacancies for appointment in the grade of Assistant for the Select List Year 1993.

(b) No officers/employees found guilty in this regard.

(c) The competent authority, after considering all facts and circumstances of the case and gravity of the charges, has decided to initiate departmental proceedings for imposing penalty, and not with the aim of protecting the guilty persons.

(d) This is the only case, relating to the revision of vacancies of Assistants for the Select List Year 1993, where independent departmental action has been recommended. However, since 1990, till date, in total, 37 disciplinary cases of major penalty and 17 cases of minor penalty on various other acts of delinquencies have/had been instituted in the Ministry of Defence, Secretariat.